## Application Note · PlasmaQuant 9100 Elite



#### Challenge

Robust determination of metallic contaminants in a highly organic matrix over a wide measurement range

### Solution

Exact analysis of elements from ppb to % in pyrolysis oil via long-term stable HR ICP-OES

### Intended audience

Operators of pyrolysis plants, actors in the field of chemical recycling, further processors of pyrolysis oil, operators of refineries, contract labs

# Metal Analysis of Waste Plastic Pyrolysis Oil via HR Array ICP-OES

## Introduction

While plastics provide great benefits, plastic waste has become a global issue. In 2019, only 9% of global plastic waste was recycled.<sup>[1]</sup> Chemical recycling – a relatively new technology – can help us significantly to increase that figure, enabling us to move towards a circular economy for plastics. In contrast to traditional recycling methods, this new technology allows us to recycle mixed plastic waste as well as to turn it into new plastic products without any restrictions on purity, plastic type, or color.

Pyrolysis oil, the oil that is generated during the chemical recycling of plastics, often exceeds feedstock specifications for its next place of processing – the steam cracker – in terms of Si, Na, Fe, Pb, Hg, and other metals. The exact contaminant levels are highly variable, as no single batch of processed waste equals the next. Therefore, the analytical characterization of pyrolysis oil is crucial.

Optical emission spectrometry with inductively coupled plasma (ICP-OES) allows for a rapid and comprehensive analysis of metals in pyrolysis oil. Specifically, the PlasmaQuant 9100 Elite offers the wide measurement range (ppb to %) that is needed for pyrolysis oil. The optical resolution of 2 pm @ 200 nm helps to correctly differentiate the analyte line from the complex spectral interferences of the organic matrix. At the same time, the high-frequency generator delivers a plasma with superior robustness even for a highly organic sample such as pyrolysis oil. This application note presents measurement results for two pyrolysis oil samples along with outstanding method detection limits. Additionally, the samples were spiked with a known analyte concentration to determine recovery rates. To demonstrate the unique long-term stability of the instrument, one pyrolysis oil sample was measured for six hours.



## Materials and Methods

### Samples and reagents

The following chemicals were employed for standards and sample preparation:

- CONOSTAN<sup>®</sup> Standard S21+Be+K+Li+Sb multielement organometallic standard at 100 mg/kg (CONOSTAN<sup>®</sup>)
- 5000 mg/kg sulfur oil-based standard (CONOSTAN®)
- 100 mg/kg arsenic oil-based standard (CONOSTAN<sup>®</sup>)
- 100 mg/kg mercury oil-based standard (CONOSTAN<sup>®</sup>)
- 1000 mg/kg yttrium oil-based standard (CONOSTAN<sup>®</sup>)
- Blank oil (CONOSTAN<sup>®</sup>, 75 cSt) and Xylol (Roth, ≥ 99 %, p.a.)

Two different pyrolysis oil samples (Pyrolysis oil 1 and Pyrolysis oil 2) were investigated.

### Sample preparation

The sample preparation was designed to reduce the viscosity of the sample and minimize potential interferences. Yttrium was utilized as an internal standard. The yttrium standard was diluted with xylene to achieve a final concentration of 2 mg/kg. This yttrium solution served as the diluent for each sample and standard. To mitigate viscosity differences between samples and standards, blank oil was added as needed, resulting in a final solution containing 10% w/w oil. Prior to sample dilution, samples were homogenized in an ultrasonic bath for 15 minutes and subsequently centrifuged (1125xg, 5 min). The supernatant was then diluted with the Yttrium solution (dilution factor: 10).

#### Instrument settings

A PlasmaQuant 9100 Elite ICP-OES combined with a Teledyne Cetac Oils 7400 autosampler was used for the analysis. The instrument was equipped with an organic sample introduction kit. The instrument parameters can be seen in Table 2.

## Calibration

The calibration blank value was prepared by diluting blank oil in the yttrium solution. Calibration standards were generated through gravimetric dilution of stock solutions to achieve the required concentrations. The organometallic multi-element standard comprises metal sulfonates, hence separate calibration standards for sulfur were derived from the respective single-element oil-based standard (refer to Table 1).

| Standard | Concentration [mg/kg]  |       |       |     |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|-------|-------|-----|--|--|--|--|
|          | Ag, Al, Ba, Ca, Cd, Cr,<br>Cu, Fe, K, Li, Mg, Mn,<br>Mo, Na, Ni, P, Pb, Sb,<br>Si, Sn, Ti, V, Zn | As    | Нд    | S   |  |  |  |  |
| Cal O    | 0  | 0     | 0     | 0   |  |  |  |  |
| Std. 1   | 0.298  | 0.305 | 0.293 | -   |  |  |  |  |
| Std. 2   | 2.56   | 1.09  | 0.992 | -   |  |  |  |  |
| Std. 3   | -  |       | -     | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Std. 4   | -  |       | -     | 500 |  |  |  |  |

Table 1: Concentration of calibration standards

| T | ab | le | 2: | Ins | tru | ım | ent | sett | ings |
|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|------|------|
|   |    |    |    |     |     |    |     |      |      |

| Parameter                   | Setting  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| RF power                    | 1.450 W  |
| Plasma gas flow             | 15 L/min   |
| Auxilliary gas flow         | 1.75 L/min   |
| Nebulizer gas flow          | 0.30 L/min   |
| Nebulizer                   | Micromist, borosilicate nebulizer, 0.4 L/min             |
| Spray chamber               | Borosilicate cyclonic spray chamber with dip tube, 50 mL |
| Outer tube / inner tube     | Quartz / quartz  |
| Injector                    | Quartz, inner diameter 1 mm                              |
| Pump tubing                 | Viton  |
| Sample pump rate            | 0.40 mL/min  |
| Fast mode                   | 0.80 mL/min  |
| Read delay                  | 90 s   |
| Torch position <sup>1</sup> | -3 mm  |

\* Spacing between injector and coil further suppresses carbon deposits on the injector tip

### Method and evaluation parameters

Analytical wavelengths were selected based on the exceptional spectral resolution of the Analytik Jena PlasmaQuant 9100 Elite, which enables interference-free measurements of the most sensitive emission lines. The Analytik Jena ASpect PQ software utilized automatic background correction (ABC) for data evaluation.

The ABC function dynamically adjusts a global baseline to the entire spectral background throughout the entire sample analysis. Additionally, the CSI software tool (Correction of Spectral Interferences) was employed for specific element lines to eliminate background signals originating from the organic matrix. Evaluation parameters are detailed in Table 3.

| Element | Line    | Plasma      | Integration | Integration Meas. time<br>[s] | Evaluation |                     |         |                     |
|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|
|         | [nm]    | observation |             |                               | Pixel      | Baseline correction | Polynom | Correction<br>model |
| Ag      | 328.068 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Al      | 396.152 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| As      | 193.698 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| Ва      | 455.403 | radial      | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| Са      | 315.887 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| Cd      | 214.441 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Cr      | 267.716 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| Cu      | 324.754 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| Fe      | 259.940 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Hg      | 184.886 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| К       | 766.491 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Li      | 670.791 | axial       | Peak        | 5                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| Mg      | 280.271 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| Mn      | 257.610 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| Мо      | 202.030 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Na      | 589.592 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| Ni      | 221.648 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Р       | 213.618 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Pb      | 220.353 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| S       | 180.672 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Sb      | 217.581 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Si      | 251.611 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Sn      | 189.927 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |
| Ti      | 334.941 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| V       | 309.311 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | -                   |
| Zn      | 213.856 | axial       | Peak        | 3                             | 3          | ABC                 | auto    | CSI                 |

#### Table 3: Method parameters

ABC: Automatic Baseline Correction; CSI: correction of spectral interferences

## Results and Discussion

A linear calibration function was achieved for all elements with a correlation coefficient of >0.999, which indicates an excellent linearity in the complete calibration range. Selected calibration curves as well as the adjusted correlation coefficients of this method are shown in figure 1.



The measurement results for the samples are listed in the following Table 4. Additionally, the samples were spiked with a known analyte concentration to assess potential matrix effects. The recovery rates and the method detection limits (MDL), considering all dilution factors of the analytes are listed in Table 4.

| Element | MDL     | Pyrolysis oil 1  |                  |                 | Pyrolysis oil 1                               |                  |                 |
|---------|---------|--|------------------|-----------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
|         | [µg/kg] | Concentration<br>measured<br>[mg/kg]   | Spike<br>[mg/kg] | Recovery<br>[%] | Concentration<br>measured<br>[mg/kg]          | Spike<br>[mg/kg] | Recovery<br>[%] |
| Ag      | 2.2     | 0.776  | 1.14             | 103             | 0.626   | 0.962            | 106             |
| AI      | 42.5    | <mdl< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>104</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>102</td></mdl<></td></mdl<> | 1.14             | 104             | <mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>102</td></mdl<> | 0.962            | 102             |
| As      | 47.3    | 0.095  | 1.12             | 100             | <mdl< td=""><td>1.02</td><td>95</td></mdl<>   | 1.02             | 95              |
| Ва      | 12.3    | 0.107  | 1.14             | 105             | 0.120   | 0.962            | 100             |
| Са      | 8.0     | <mdl< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>99</td><td>0.099</td><td>0.962</td><td>95</td></mdl<>                 | 1.14             | 99              | 0.099   | 0.962            | 95              |
| Cd      | 1.1     | 0.052  | 1.14             | 99              | 0.367   | 0.962            | 98              |
| Cr      | 1.2     | <mdl< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>104</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>98</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>  | 1.14             | 104             | <mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>98</td></mdl<>  | 0.962            | 98              |
| Cu      | 2.3     | 0.079  | 1.14             | 105             | 0.151   | 0.962            | 95              |
| Fe      | 1.0     | 0.955  | 1.14             | 103             | 0.467   | 0.962            | 92              |
| Нд      | 15.5    | 0.042  | 0.94             | 93              | <mdl< td=""><td>1.03</td><td>109</td></mdl<>  | 1.03             | 109             |
| К       | 66.2    | <mdl< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>96</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>90</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>   | 1.14             | 96              | <mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>90</td></mdl<>  | 0.962            | 90              |
| Li      | 4.3     | <mdl< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>106</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>91</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>  | 1.14             | 106             | <mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>91</td></mdl<>  | 0.962            | 91              |
| Mg      | 1.2     | <mdl< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>103</td><td>0.034</td><td>0.962</td><td>96</td></mdl<>                | 1.14             | 103             | 0.034   | 0.962            | 96              |
| Mn      | 1.5     | 0.028  | 1.14             | 104             | 0.012   | 0.962            | 103             |
| Мо      | 4.3     | 0.231  | 1.14             | 101             | 0.285   | 0.962            | 105             |
| Na      | 319     | <mdl< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>99</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>84</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>   | 1.14             | 99              | <mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>84</td></mdl<>  | 0.962            | 84              |

Table 4: Concentration of samples, recovery rates and method specific detection limits (MDL)

| Element | MDL  | Pyrolysis oil 1  |                  |                 | Pyrolysis oil 1                               |                  |                 |  |
|---------|------|--|------------------|-----------------|---|------------------|-----------------|--|
|         |      | Concentration<br>measured<br>[mg/kg]   | Spike<br>[mg/kg] | Recovery<br>[%] | Concentration<br>measured<br>[mg/kg]          | Spike<br>[mg/kg] | Recovery<br>[%] |  |
| Ni      | 3.6  | <mdl< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>102</td><td>0.928</td><td>0.962</td><td>94</td></mdl<>                | 1.14             | 102             | 0.928   | 0.962            | 94              |  |
| Р       | 30.5 | 10.05  | 1.14             | 102             | 6.43  | 0.962            | 94              |  |
| Pb      | 33.6 | <mdl< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>102</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>106</td></mdl<></td></mdl<> | 1.14             | 102             | <mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>106</td></mdl<> | 0.962            | 106             |  |
| S       | 116  | 26.33  | -                | -               | 47.5  | 68.7             | 100             |  |
| Sb      | 22.5 | 0.070  | 1.14             | 101             | <mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>105</td></mdl<> | 0.962            | 105             |  |
| Si      | 6.9  | 2615   | -                | -               | 10.2  | 0.962            | 97              |  |
| Sn      | 11.4 | <mdl< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>101</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>104</td></mdl<></td></mdl<> | 1.14             | 101             | <mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>104</td></mdl<> | 0.962            | 104             |  |
| Ti      | 1.0  | 0.007  | 1.14             | 101             | <mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>102</td></mdl<> | 0.962            | 102             |  |
| V       | 2.9  | 0.061  | 1.14             | 104             | <mdl< td=""><td>0.962</td><td>101</td></mdl<> | 0.962            | 101             |  |
| Zn      | 1.6  | 0.063  | 1.14             | 103             | 0.214   | 0.962            | 102             |  |

Continuation of Table 4: Concentration of samples, recovery rates and method specific detection limits (MDL)

The recoveries of almost all analytes were within  $\pm 6\%$ , which illustrates the excellent applicability of the analytical method for the determination of impurities in pyrolysis oils.

The robustness of the instrument is crucial for laboratories that want to directly analyze organic matrices such as pyrolysis oils. The PlasmaQuant 9100 Elite's high-frequency generator delivers a plasma with superior robustness, providing a strong and consistent signal intensity even for highly organic matrices. To demonstrate the outstanding performance and long-term stability of the instrument, pyrolysis oil 1 was spiked with an analyte concentration of 1 mg/kg and measured for six hours. Recoveries in the range of 95-106 % were obtained (see Figure 2). Relative standard deviations below 1.6% indicate an extremely stable performance of the instrument throughout the long-term measurement.



Figure 2: Percentage recoveries of spiked analytes (1 mg/kg) in pyrolysis oil during a 6-hour sequence. The relative standard deviation (RSD) values for all elements were below 1.6%.

By using the CSI tool, interfering background signals can be precisely removed from the recorded sample spectrum. This results in a clean and easy to evaluate analyte peak, which usually contributes to an improved signal-to-noise ratio and consequently improved measurement accuracy and precision. Figure 3 shows the effectiveness of the CSI tool using tin (Sn) as an example. On the left side, a recorded spectrum with significant background signals is shown. The evaluation of such a peak with a low-resolution instrument leads to an erroneous quantification of the analyte in question. The CSI-corrected spectrum, shown on the right side in Figure 3, shows an analyte peak that can be easily and reliably evaluated due to the significantly improved signal-to-noise ratio. Furthermore, a lower detection limit can be achieved.



Figure 3: Advantage of high-resolution spectra and the software tool CSI (correction of spectral interferences) (red: 1 mg/kg Std., blue: Cal. 0, green: base line correction).

## Summary

The presented methodology enables a simple routine analysis of trace impurities in pyrolysis oils. Typical challenges in the analysis of organic samples (e.g., the instability of the plasma, signal fluctuations due to carbon deposits and matrix-related spectral interferences) are successfully overcome.

High accuracy and precision of results are achieved by consistent excitation of the organic samples with the highfrequency generator of the PlasmaQuant 9100 Elite. Carbon deposits on the glass components are suppressed by using a vertical torch, the unique V-Shuttle Torch. In addition, an optimized torch position guarantees minimal soot formation and thus excellent long-term stability of the measurements. The high spectral resolution of the PlasmaQuant 9100 Elite of 2 pm @ 200 nm in combination with the CSI software tool ensures the separation of analyte and background signals. Thus, the non-specific background resulting from the high carbon content in the pyrolysis oil is efficiently separated from the analyte emission lines and spectral interferences are eliminated. It allows the use of the most sensitive emission lines and thus guarantees highest sensitivity for all investigated elements. In addition, data evaluation is



Figure 4: PlasmaQuant 9100 Elite

supported by automatic baseline and spectral corrections using software tools such as ABC and CSI. This achieves detection limits in the lower  $\mu$ g/kg range, ensuring precise and accurate determination of the required analytes.

## Recommended device configuration

Table 5: Overview of devices, accessories, and consumables

| Article                     | Article number | Description   |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---|
| PlasmaQuant 9100 Elite      | 818-09101-2    | High-resolution ICP-OES   |
| Teledyne Cetac Oils 7400    | 810-88122-0    | Sampler for analysing oils and coolants with stirring function and double rinsing station |
| ORGANIC KIT                 | 810-88008-0    | Sample introduction system recommended for petrochemical samples and organic solvents     |
| Consumables Set Organic Kit | 810-88044-0    | Recommended consumables for approx. 1000 analyses   |

#### References

[1] OECD (2022), Global Plastics Outlook: Economic Drivers, Environmental Impacts and Policy Options, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/de747aef-en

This document is true and correct at the time of publication; the information within is subject to change. Other documents may supersede this document, including technical modifications and corrections. Trademark notice: The brand names of the third-party products specified in the application note are usually registered trademarks of the respective companies or organizations.

#### Headquarters

Analytik Jena GmbH+Co. KG Konrad-Zuse-Strasse 1 07745 Jena · Germany

Phone +49 3641 77 70 Fax +49 3641 77 9279

info@analytik-jena.com www.analytik-jena.com

Version  $1.0 \cdot \text{Author: SM, FS}$  en  $\cdot 11/2023$ © Analytik Jena | Pictures ©: iStock/Shadrakhov